

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,067	03/31/2004	Carelton Tanner JR.	MSFT-2957/307010.01 5503		
	7590 04/16/2007 WASHBURN LLP	EXAMINER			
CIRA CENTRI	E, 12TH FLOOR		KENDALL, CHUCK O		
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, 		2192		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>.</u>		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)	pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/814,067	TANNER, CAREL	TANNER, CARELTON				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Chuck O. Kendall	2192				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRY (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state or to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the control	, ,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>15 Jan</i>	uary 2007.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or e	election requirement.		·			
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•							
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/814,067 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

Detailed Action

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed 01/15/02.
- 2. Claims 1 28 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cebula et al. US 2004/015830 A1.

Regarding claims 1 and 15, a system for multi-environment testing automation comprising:

- a Test Store in which a at least one Test is stored [0024];
- a Test Document that describes at least one Test that can be executed in at least two testing environment [0045]; and
- a test Driver that interprets said Test Document to execute at least one Test described by said Test Document (FIG. 5, 506, 508, translator for interprets).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,067

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claims 2 and 16, the system of claim 1 wherein said one Test that is described by said Test Document can be executed in an HTTP environment and one additional environment [0125, see

internet].

Regarding claims 4 and 18, the system of claim 1 further comprising at least one Execution Variable corresponding to said test and comprising data that does not comprise data in said Test [0006].

Regarding claims 5 and 19, the system of claim 1 further comprising a Test Editor for authoring a Test Document [0081].

Regarding claims 6 and 20, the system of claim 1 further comprising a Test Transform that would transform an existing Test in a first Test Document into a new Test in a second Test Document [0076, show editing tests].

Regarding claims 7 and 21, system for executing Tests, said Tests comprising at least one Task where each of said at least one Task comprises at least one Step, said system comprising:

an Executor subsystem that executes at least one Step and generates at least one Execution Result [0091]; and

Application/Control Number: 10/814,067

Art Unit: 2192

a Verifier for comparing at least one Execution Result to at least one Expected Result [0108, unit testing verification, also see 0021].

Regarding claims 8 and 22, the system of claim 7 further comprising a Filter for filtering at least one Execution Result generated by said Executor before said at least one Execution Result is compared by said Verifier of said at least one Expected Result [0021].

Regarding claims 9 and 23, a method of testing automation in a plurality of environments, said method comprising:

grouping at least one Test into a Test Store, said Test Store comprising data pertaining to Tests it contains, said Test being able to be executed in at least two environments (FIG. 3, see test suite);

describing a nature of at least one Test in at least one Test Document, wherein said nature comprises information regarding a means for verifying a result derived from said Test and a description of what constitutes a correct results for said Test [0108, 0021];

interpreting at least one Test Documents to execute at least one Test verifying whether said at least one Test passes or fails (FIG. 5, 506, 508).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,067

Art Unit: 2192

01. 10/01 1,001

Regarding claims 10 and 24, the method of claim 9 further comprising the utilization least one Execution Variable corresponding to said Test and comprising data that does not comprise data in said Test [0006].

Regarding claims 11 and 25, the method of claim 9 further comprising transforming a Test in a first Test Document into a new Test for a second Test Document [0076, show editing tests].

Regarding claims 12 and 26, the method of claim 9 wherein said Test Store is implemented as an abstraction that allows a plurality of Test Documents to be stored in at least two different formats (0037).

Regarding claims 13 and 27, the method of claim 9 wherein said Test Store further comprises functionality for browsing, saving, and retrieving a Test Document (0107).

Regarding claims 14 and 28, the method of claim 9 wherein an organization of Tests in a Store Test is automatically updated based on at least one criteria from among the following plurality of criteria: the manner in which one or more Tests are executed;

the frequency in which one or more Tests are executed (0100);

and

the most common environment in which one or more Tests are executed (0076).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cebula et al. US 2004/0153830 as applied in claims 1 and 15 in view of Melamed et al. US 2007/0107415.

Regarding claims 3 and 17, Cebula discloses all the claimed limiations as applied in claims 1 and 15 above. Cebula doesn't expressly disclose the system of claim 1 wherein said one Test that is described by said Test Document can be executed in a SQL environment and one additional environment. However, Melamand in an analogous art and similar configuration discloses using SQL to gather input into the database (0075). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Cebula and Melamed because using SQL in the art with databases is an industry standard and it would enable interacting with the database.

huck Rendell

Art Unit: 2192

Correspondence information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-2723698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-2723695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.